

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/693,019	Applicant(s) BABA ET AL.	
	Examiner Tri H. Phan	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Tri H. Phan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Joseph Giordano.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006
Time: 4:10 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Problem with functional language in claim 16.
Claims discussed:
Claim 16
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed on changing claim 16 with more active language and agreement was reached. Authorization for the examiner's amendment on claim 16.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)